

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,697	04/12/2006	Carsten Olsen	10442.204-US	2080
25908 NOVOZYMES	7590 09/05/200 S NORTH AMERICA,	EXAMINER		
500 FIFTH AVENUE			DESAI, ANAND U	
SUITE 1600 NEW YORK, 1	V YORK, NY 10110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1656		
			****	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
,		10/575,697	OLSEN ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Anand U. Desai, Ph.D.	1656		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
WHI( - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	<u>ıly 2006</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) 30-49 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 30-49 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmer	it(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/575,697

Art Unit: 1656

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 30-47, drawn to a bacterial host cell comprising at least two copies of an amplification unit in its genome.

Group II, claim(s) 48, drawn to a method for producing a protein encoded by a gene of interest, comprising culturing a bacterial host cell.

Group III, claim(s) 49, drawn to a method for producing a bacterial cell comprising two or more amplified chromosomal copies of a gene of interest.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the technical feature linking groups I-III appears to be that they all relate to a transformed bacterial host cell comprising a gene of interest and an expressible conditionally essential gene. However, Rasmussen (U.S. Patent 6,762,040 B2) disclose a method for producing a polypeptide, comprising: (a) culturing a bacterial host cell comprising two or more amplified copies of an amplification unit in the chromosome, said amplification unit comprising: i) an expression cassette comprising at least one copy of a gene of

Application/Control Number: 10/575,697

Art Unit: 1656

interest encoding the polypeptide; and ii) at least one expressible copy of a chromosomal gene of the host cell encoding at least one enzyme involved in the removal of UDP-galactose from the bacterial cell when the cell is grown in the presence of galactose or a galactose precursor; and (b) recovering the polypeptide (see claim 1). Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of groups I-III does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art. Accordingly, groups I-III are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows: the conditionally essential gene of either claim 39 through claim 47.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 1656

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the technical feature linking the species does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art. Rasmussen (U.S. Patent 6,762,040 B2) disclose a method for producing a polypeptide, comprising: (a) culturing a bacterial host cell comprising two or more amplified copies of an amplification unit in the chromosome, said amplification unit comprising: and at least one expressible copy of a chromosomal gene of the host cell encoding at least one enzyme involved in the removal of UDP-galactose from the bacterial cell when the cell is grown in the presence of galactose or a galactose precursor; and (b) recovering the polypeptide (see claim 1).

## Notice of Possible Rejoinder

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully

Art Unit: 1656

examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In Art Unit: 1656

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anand U. Desai, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0947. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 a.m. - 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Kathleen Kerr Bragdon can be reached on (517) 272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

August 31, 2007

AD
/Anand Desai/
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1656